

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,491	07/15/2005	Richard Jonathan Miller	124-1126	5306
23117 7590 09/11/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER	
901 NORTH C	LEBE ROAD, 11TH FI	LOOR	DOWLING, WILLIAM C	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/542,491	MILLER, RICHARD JONATHAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William C. Dowling	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2007 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the concept that the content of the concept of the content	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sekiguchi (5,798,864) in view of Schaefer et al. (7,094,502).

Sekiguchi discloses a projection device comprising:

A coherent light source (101), which may be a laser source;

An EASLM (203) addressed with diffraction images generated by means computer (105);

Optics (103, 104) for directing and magnifying the diffracted images to a screen (9).

Figures 9 and 10 disclose the use of plural differently colored coherent light sources for use with a single or multiple EASLM's. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the concept of Sekiguchi et al. to alternate known types of illumination systems so long as a full color image is formed because such modification is the substitution of a particular EASLM addressing means, as taught by Sekiguchi, within known structures.

Art Unit: 2851

In a sequential system the frame rate of the SLM would be greater than that of the viewable image formed at the screen.

With regard to Claims 7-9, 16-19, it is well known to store images within computer means for delivery to modulation systems. As such it would have been obvious to provide such computer controls means in order to allow for the projection of various images without the necessity of having to create them individually when desired.

Schaefer et al. (7,094,502) teaches that diffraction images may be encompassed by the term holographic image. This reference is provided for that teaching since the term is not utilized in Sekiguchi.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-3, 5, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Sekiguchi (5,798,864) and Schaefer et al. (7,094,502) in view of Suh (5,805,244).

Sekiguchi (5,798,864) and Schaefer et al. (7,094,502) discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not specify the use of plural panels or sections for forming different color component images and combining them for full color image formation

Art Unit: 2851

Suh teaches the illumination of multiple displays with colored lights and the combination and projections of colored modulated lights to form a full color image. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of the combination by providing plural imaging devices to form a combined color image on a projection surface.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 571-272-2116. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on 571-272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57/1-272/1000.